Sustaining growth, by design

Nobel Economics prize has a warning for India — monopoly power, restricted inquiry, or inequality could bring back stagnation





Dr SUSHANTA KUMAR MAHAPATRA

Dr MADAN MEHER

he 2025 Sveriges Riksbank Prize in Economic Sciences has been awarded to Joel Mokyr, Philippe Aghion, and Peter Howitt "for having explained innovation-driven economic growth." Mokyr is recognised "for identifying the prerequisites for sustained growth through technological progress," while Aghion and Howitt share the other half "for the theory of sustained growth through creative destruction."

Their w supporter stage of the stage of the

Why this Prize Matters

This year's award unites two perspectives that complete one another. Mokyr, the economic historian at Northwestern University, shows how the Enlightenment —and what he calls the "Industrial Enlightenment" — forged a feedback loop between propositional knowledge (scientific understanding of why things work) and prescriptive knowledge (practical know-how of how to make them work). Before that intellectual shift, innovations were isolated bursts: artisans knew how, but rarely why. Once science and craftsmanship began to interact, discovery became cumulative rather than episodic.

Aghion and Howitt, in contrast, supplied the analytical engine. Their seminal 1992 model of creative destruction formalised how firm-level innovation and competition can yield steady growth through a constant churning of ideas. Each new product or process boosts productivity but displaces in-

cumbents, creating winners and losers — and demanding policies that manage these social costs without stifling the dynamism that drives progress.

The Twin Conditions

Two lessons run through the Academy's scientific background. First, knowledge must exist in twin forms. Propositional knowledge provides explanation and predictability; prescriptive knowledge provides execution and diffusion. When the two connect through universities, workshops, and open exchange, the cycle of innovation becomes self-reinforcing. The Industrial Revolution's enduring take-off occurred precisely when this coupling matured.

Second, innovation is disruptive by design. The laureates show that economies need institutions that can absorb and reallocate the losses creative destruction inflicts. When dominant interests — be they guilds of the 18th century or digital monopolies of today — block new entrants to protect themselves, progress slows. Thus, sustaining innovation requires social trust, compensatory mechanisms, and political frameworks that allow change while cushioning those displaced by it.

Policy Warnings for India

The Royal Swedish Academy cautions that sustained growth can never be taken for granted. Stagnation is humanity's historical norm; the modern era is the anomaly. Threats to the current growth regime include rising market concentration, barriers to open science, eroding social mobility, and political control of the contr

ical capture by incumbents. For India, these are not abstract concerns.

The digital economy is increasingly dominated by a few platforms, reducing room for experimentation and new entrants. The country's research ecosystem still suffers from weak translation between universities and industry, meaning scientific ideas rarely reach commercial or social application. At the same time, labourmarket rigidity and limited social insurance mean that disruption — essential for innovation — often exacts a human toll that provokes resistance to reform. Without deliberate policies to manage these frictions, the virtuous cycle of innovation could easily stall.

Concrete Indian Imperatives

- Reinvigorate the science-practice pipeline: Fund translational research linking labs to workshops and startups, expand technical apprenticeships, and reward universities for converting theory into usable technology. Productivity follows when propositional knowledge meets prescriptive application.
- Tackle concentration where it mat-

India's digital economy is increasingly dominated by a few platforms while weak links between universities and industry limit innovation

- ters: Competition policy should prevent monopolies without discouraging R&D investment. Both excessive and insufficient concentration can stifle innovation.
- Build robust worker-transition systems: Labour policy should "protect people, not jobs," using active labourmarket programmes, portable benefits, and reskilling to make creative destruction socially sustainable.
 Economies with high churn and strong safety nets grow faster than those with frozen job structures.
- Safeguard open science and pluralism: India must protect academic freedom, open access, and international collaboration. Societies prosper when new ideas circulate freely, as diffusion depends more on openness than on top-down control.

AI, Sustainability, and Equity

The Academy notes that artificial intelligence could strengthen the feedback between scientific and practical knowledge, turning theory into application faster than ever. Yet, this promise will hold only if access is broad and governance curbs the dominance of a few data-rich monopolies. Policy will determine whether AI fuels inclusive growth or widens inequality.

growth or widens inequality.

The laureates also stress that sustained growth is not necessarily sustainable. Technological progress can solve environmental problems, but also generate new ones — from climate change to resource depletion. Innovation becomes self-correcting only under wise regulation and international cooperation. For I the task is to channel its inventiv ward green technologie: ular production, and equitable g......

Roadmap for Next Century

The 2025 Economics Nobel honours more than theory; it celebrates a vision of how science, enterprise, and institutions keep progress alive. For India — a vast, youthful and rapidly digitising economy — the message is urgent: invest in basic science, link research with practice, preserve competition and openness, and build safety nets that make technological disruption socially bearable.

If these foundations weaken — through monopoly power, constrained inquiry or rising inequality — the centuries-long norm of stagnation could return. The laureates' warning is clear: growth must be sustained by design, not taken for granted. It is a collective choice that depends on knowledge, openness to change, and trust in the institutions that nurture both.

(Dr Sushanta Kumar Mahapatra is with Department of Economics, ICFAI School of Social Sciences,ICFAI Foundation for Higher Education, Deemed University, Hyderabad. Dr Madan Meher is a former Senior Research Fellow (UGC), School of Economics, Gangadhar Meher University, Sambalpur, Odisha)